

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgiria 22313-1450 www.uspoj.cov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/445,517	12/06/1999	BRADFORD J DUFT	235/013US	1018
44638 7590 1229/2010 Intellectual Property Department Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. 9360 Towns Centre Drive San Diego, CA 92121			EXAMINER	
			DEVI, SARVAMANGALA J N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1645	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/29/2010	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/445,517	DUFT ET AL.
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	S. Devi, Ph.D.	1645
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>S. Devi (USPTO)</u> .	(3)	
(2) Attorney Timothy Torchia.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 December 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's amendment	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.     It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(4)	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	iva Signatura - if appropriata)
(A	ppmcam/Appmcam s керresemat	ive aignature – ii appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Attorney Torchia was informed that Applicant's amendment filed 11/30/10 is non-compliant under 37 CFR 1.121 in that single brackets, as opposed to double brackets, have been used to indicate deletion of certain limitations from the pending claims 23 and 33. To avoid the mailing of a Notice of Non-compliant Amendment, it was requested that Applicants file a supplemental amendment by 01/07/2011 to correct the issue of non-compliance.

/S. Devi/ Primary Examiner AU 1645

12-28-2010